A novel EUS-guided Intratumoral Delivery of Submicron Particle Paclitaxel for the Treatment of Locally Advanced Pancreatic Cancer A Prospective Safety, Tolerability and Preliminary Efficacy Study

Simon K Lo MD, Andrew Hendifar MD, Neil Sharma MD, Mohamed Othman MD, Antonio Mendoza-Ladd MD, Shelagh Verco PhD, James Verco, Gere diZerega MD

Study NCT# 03077685 Sponsored by NanOlogy, LLC



Pancreatic Cancer Prognosis

SEER Stage	5-year Relative Survival Rate
Localized	34%
Regional	12%
Distant	3%
All SEER stages combined	9%

EUS-Guided Intratumoral Rx

American Cancer Society

Presenting Lesions and Treatments

- Early stage/resectable
 - 10% 15%
 - Treat with surgery +/- neoadjuvant Rx
- Metastatic
 - 45% 55%
 - Treat with chemotherapy, plus a variety of radiation, endoscopic or surgical palliation
- Borderline resectable/locally advanced
 - 35% 40%
 - What's the best course of treatment?
 - May be a good group to try locally delivered targeted therapy

"Advantages" of tumor-targeted Rx

- Minimal systemic (side) effects
- Concentrated dosing with more effective outcomes
- Many potential forms of treatment (chemo agent, radioisotope, ablating agent, immuno, thermal or cryo treatment)
- Possibly better patient experience
- Potential for simultaneous systemic therapy

What targeted Rx have been tried?

Туре	Route(s)	Morbidities	Overall Survival
Surgical resection	Open	Extensive	Longer OS; cheaper
RFA (radiofrequency)	Open; Perc.	Liver failure, sepsis, duod perf, pancreatitis, panc fistula	19-26 months
Microwave	Open; perc	Pancreatitis, pseudoaneurysm	NA
Cryoablation	Open	Pancreatic/bile leak, GI bleeding	No added benefit
HIFU (ultrasound)	External	Skin burn, GI upset/pain, pancreatitis	6-14 months (80% pain improvement)
SBRT	External	Pain, nausea, gastroenteritis	10-20 months
I ¹²⁵ implant	Open	Enteritis, seeds migration	10 months (94% pain control)
IRE (electroporation)	Open, lap, perc	Pain, GI upset, leaks, pancreatitis, abscess	15-27 months
PDT	Perc, EUS	Pain , steatorrhea	16 months
EUS guided therapies			

EUS-Guided Intratumoral Rx

Ruarus. Cancers (Basel) 2018

EUS guided Intratumoral Therapies

- Allogenic mixed lymphocyte culture (cytoimplant)
- ONYX-015 (dl1520), an E1B-55kD gene-deleted replication-selective adenovirus
- TNFerade, a second-generation adenovector
- Tumor antigen-loaded dendritic cells immunotherapy
- Oncolytic virus, HF10
- Radiofrequency ablation
- Photodynamic therapy
- Brachytherapy
- Ethanol injection

Considerations in local drug delivery

- Volume of fluid injection
 - Tolerated by patient (pain, etc)
 - Compression of the vital structures around the HOP
- Would it cause acute tissue necrosis?
 - Cavity formation
 - Tendency to abscess formation
- Distribution of fluid
 - Does it matter?
 - Multiple vs single spot delivery
 - Could it enter the pancreatic duct or vasculature and what would be the outcome?
- Would it disseminate the cancer by disturbing it?

Submicron Particle Paclitaxel

- Stable submicron particles (0.8 µm mean) of pure paclitaxel without additives or coatings with large surface area to size ratio.
- Small particles with good tissue penetration and distribution to get through dense tumor stroma; large enough surface area for sustained drug release.



Unprocessed paclitaxel

Submicron particle paclitaxel

EUS-guided paclitaxel submicron particles injection

- Locally advanced pancreatic cancer
- Direct tumor injection
- IV chemotherapy prior/concurrent

Simon K Lo, Andrew Hendifar, Neil Sharma, Mohamed Othman, Antonio Mendoza-Ladd, Shelagh Verco, James Verco, Gere diZerega

Cedars-Sinai Medical Center. Los Angeles, California Baylor College of Medicine. Houston, Texas, Neil Sharma: Parkview Cancer Institute. Fort Wayne, Indiana, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center. El Paso, Texas

Drug Safety Study Objectives

PRIMARY OBJECTIVE:

• To evaluate the safety and tolerability of NanoPac injected directly into pancreatic cancer by endoscopic ultrasound-guided injection

SECONDARY OBJECTIVES:

- To describe the PK of NanoPac when administered into the tumor within the pancreas
- To determine whether any of the NanoPac cohorts (6, 10, or 15 mg/mL) show signs of preliminary efficacy
- To determine if two injections of NanoPac (one month apart at the determined dose for this cohort) shows signs of preliminary efficacy

Study Design

Dose Escalation:

- Standard 3 + 3 design
 - Doses of 6mg/mL, 10mg/mL and 15mg/mL
 - Single injection; imaging at 3- and 6-months post injection
 - CA19-9 and CEA
 - PK (systemic assessment)

Double Injection Phase:

- Additional 12 subjects dosed at highest safe/tolerable dose (15mg/mL) (allowed to add 10 more patients recently)
 - Two injections, one month apart
 - Imaging, CA19-9, CEA, and PK

Drug Safety Study Design (2)

Sequential cohorts of NanoPac 6, 10, and 15 mg/mL at up to 20% of the calculated tumor volume (with a maximum injection volume of 5 mL/Subject)



Pancreatic Body Cancer Nanopac Injections



Patient Enrollment & Status

- 10 dose escalation subjects (3 at 6 mg, 3 at 10 mg, 4 at 15 mg/mL):
 - 7 completed the 6m study
 - 1 died just prior to the 6m visit
 - 2 withdrew due to disease progression
- As of Sept 30th, 13 subjects enrolled in double injection phase, 9 have had both injections; 2 have withdrawn due to disease progression
- 9/13 have completed 3m follow-up, 4 of whom have completed 6m
- Study is ongoing; FDA allowed additional 10 subjects for a total of 22 to complete double injection phase

Adverse Events

- Transient mild/moderate abdominal pain was noted in 4 subjects
- Bradycardia, nausea, back pain, bloating, and pancytopenia were also recorded
- Acute pancreatitis has not been encountered
- Systemic toxicity has not been reported
- No Serious Adverse Events considered due to the study agent have been reported

CA 19-9 following single injections

		Total Drug			% Change in					
	Subject	Injection 1	Day 1ª	Week 2	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8	Week 12	Week 24	Biomarker ^b
t 1 nL)	03001	12.6	74ª	154	245	N/A ^c	410	282	953	1188%
hori ng/n	03002	18	524ª	448	606	N/A ^c	DNA ^e	W/D	W/D	W/D
Cc (6 n	02001	30	248	513	3126	N/A ^c	>12000	86580	40480	16223%
2 nL)	03003	43	51	93	140	N/A ^c	393	576	118	131%
ohort mg/r	02002	7	5	5	4	N/A ^c	4	5	5	WNL
C((10	05001	50	16	17	18	N/A ^c	25	17	25	WNL
L) 3	03004	75	1628	2504	W/D	N/A ^c	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D
ort 3 g/m	02003	75	157	DNA ^f	293	N/A ^c	659	567	DNA ^g	261% ^b
Cohe 5 m	02004	75	>12000	113,630	>12000	N/A ^c	>9999	>9999	DNA ^h	DNA
U;U	05002	12	48	123	189	N/A ^c	223	152	2359	4815%

CA 19-9 following two injections, one month apart

	Subject	Total Drug Given (mg)		CA19-9 (U/mL)							% Change
		Injection 1	Injection 2	Day 1 ^a	Week 2	Week 4	Week 6	Week 8	Week 12	Week 24	In Biomarker ^b
	04001	16.5	16.5	41.8	36.8	38	35	32	28	28	-33%
	04002	46.5	42	230	143.5	102	93	61	47	46.1	-80%
	05003	75	75	234	476	389	497	311	654	215	-8%
	03005	75	W/D	4985	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D
nd Phase 5 mg/mL)	02005	75	75	4	5	7	9	4	7	3	WNL
	04003	75	75	284	219	185	183	179	92	Oct 2019	-68% ^b
Secc 2 x 1	02007	67.5	W/D	3747	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D
)	04004	57	57	64 ^a	59	69	96	88	119	Nov 2019	86% ^b
	04005	27	27	342 ^a	299	158	130	128	89	Nov 2019	-74% ^b
	04006	31.5	31.5	132	123	91	69	54	46	Dec 2019	-65% ^b
	02006	75	75	11	14	13	18	20	113	Jan 2019	927%

^aScreening data used if Day 1 data not available

^bLatest available data if Week 24 data is not available

W/D = subject withdrew; WNL = within normal limits at all timepoints

CA19-9 patterns in double injection phase



Tumor volumes following single injections

	Subject	Total Drug Given (mg) Injection 1	Tu Screening	Change in Tumor Volume (Screening - Week 24) ^a		
1 1L)	03001	12.6	DNA ^b	DNA ^b	DNA ^b	DNA ^b
hort ng/m	03002	18	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D
Cc (6 n	02001	30	23.88	28.05	30.13	26%
ohort 2 mg/mL)	03003	43	12.3	41.6	14.9	21%
	02002	7	5.93	25.09	4.7	-21%
C(05001	50	48.6	100.9	103	112%
() ()	03004	75	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D
Cohort 3 5 mg/mI	02003	75	DNA ^c	75	DNA ^d	DNA ^d
	02004	75	24.19	47	DNA ^e	94% ^a
(1	05002	12	3.5	3.2	3.3	-6%

^aLatest available data if Week 24 data is not available

^bTumor volume for Subject 03001 is not available; only tumor dimensions were provided for this subject

^cData unavailable as no sample was procedure occurred offsite and results were not obtained

^dSubject 02003 died prior to Week 24

^eSubject 02004 was lost to follow-up

Tumor volumes following two injections, one month apart

		Total Drug	Given (mg)	Tur	nor Volume (Change in Tumor	
	Subject	Injection 1	Injection 2	Screening	Week 12	Week 24	Volume (Screening - Week 24) ^a
	04001	16.5	16.5	5.7	9.1	3.3	-42% ^a
	04002	46.5	42	6.4	5.5	5.7	-11%
Second Phase (2 x 15 mg/mL)	05003	75	75	9.2	14.7	39.3	327%
	03005	75	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D
	02005	75	75	32.4	21.7	18.8	-42%
	04003	75	75	20.5	10.1	Oct 2019	-51%ª
	02007	67.5	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D	W/D
	04004	57	57	15	14	Nov 2019	-7% ^a
	04005	27	27	20.3	10	Nov 2019	-51%ª
	04006	31.5	31.5	16.3	4	Dec 2019	-76% ^a
	02006	75	75	65.4	12.7	Jan 2019	-81%

^aLatest available data if Week 24 data is not available

Tumor Volume Change – 2 Injection Phase



Subject - Eligible for Surgery

59 yr old woman, with T4N0M0 Stage III adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Staging a composite of EUA and CT scans – 2.7 x 2.2 cm mass with concern for degree of SMA abutment from pancreatic head mass limiting ability for R0 resection.

Treatment Schedule

- 9/2018 Neoadjuvant mFOLFIRINOX x4 cycles
- 12/2018 SBRT completion (5 fractions)
- 1/8/2019 NanoPac injection #1
- 1/2019 Gemcitibine/Abraxane (Days 1, 8, 15) with Neulasta support (day 15)
- 2/4/2019 NanoPac injection #2
- 3/2019 Gemcitibine/Abraxane cycle 2

Subject - Eligible for Surgery

- NanoPac injections 8 January and 4 February 2019
- EUS performed 4 May 2019 (18 weeks after first injection)
 - Reduction of mass from 2.7 x 2.2 cm to 2.2 x 1.6 cm
 - Less involvement of SMA
 - T4 down to T2; Patient scheduled for Whipple
- Surgery performed 7 June 2019
 - Final pathology 2.2 x 1.8 cm adenocarcinoma of the pancreas with high grade dysplasia, 0/14 nodes involved, negative surgical margins

Conclusions

- Submicron particle paclitaxel appears to be safe and tolerable when administered by intratumoral EUS injection at up to 15 mg/mL on two occasions 4-wks apart
- One subject was down-staged and has undergone surgical resection
- These are encouraging results and warrant further investigation of this novel treatment approach